

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,314	10/29/2003	Michael J. Czaplicki	1001-012C1	6565
25215	7590 07/20/2005	•	EXAMINER	
DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC			CHANG, VICTOR S	
29 W LAWRI SUITE 210	ENCE ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PONTIAC, N	ΛΙ 48342		1771	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/696,314	CZAPLICKI, MIC	CHAEL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T				
	Victor S. Chang	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, howeve ply within the statutory minim d will apply and will expire SI) ate, cause the application to b	or, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim  ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		·					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applicatio	ın.		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiremer	ıt.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form F	°TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been receiv	ed.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			al Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copi	es not received.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Int	terview Summary (PTO-413)	•				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,314

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-23, drawn to a reinforced tubular hydroform, classified in class
   428, subclass 304.4.
- II. Claims 24-27, drawn to a method for forming a reinforced hydroform, classified in class 264, subclass 415.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, such as a reinforced article with rectangular hollow structure.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- **4.** This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: a reinforced hydroform comprising an outer structural member and a structure foam (claims 1 and 6-14).

Species B: a reinforced hydroform comprising an outer structural member, a structure foam, and an inner structural member (claims 2-5 and 15-23).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/696,314

Art Unit: 1771

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

**5.** Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

**6.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor S. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-

1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor S Chang

Page 4

Examiner

**Art Unit 1771** 

7/13/2005